

Policy Name: Full Board Initial Review Policy and Procedures		
Approved		
Effective Date: 01/27/2012	Page 1 of 6	Section: HRPP3.4
01/2//2012		
Replaces Policy: 02/20/2009		

#### I. POLICY

**A.** No human research will be initiated without prospective IRB review and approval.

# B. Required Elements

The protocol submitted to the IRB must include all required elements (adapted from the DHHS research grant application guide PHS 398). The protocol format is:

- 1. specific aims,
- 2. background and significance,
- 3. preliminary studies,
- 4. research design and methods,
- 5. protection of human subjects,
- 6. references/literature citations,
- 7. consultants.
- 8. facilities available,
- 9. investigator brochure if applicable, and
- 10. appendix to include surveys, questionnaires, study calendars, etc.

## C. FDA Regulated Products

All studies involving FDA regulated products will be reviewed and approved in accordance with FDA regulations.

## D. MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

In aspects where the MUSC IRB is being utilized by the Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center, both parties will abide by the agreements set forth in the current "Memorandum of Understanding Between The Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center And The Medical University of South Carolina Concerning Utilization of the Medical University of South Carolina's Institutional Review Boards".

#### II. PROCEDURES

# A. Submission by the Principal Investigator

1. The Principal Investigator submits the protocol for "Full IRB Review", ensures relevant information (including Conflict of Interest) has been completed and documentation appropriate to the study has been uploaded. In performing this submission, the Principal Investigator electronically signs the Principal Statement of Assurance Form.

## B. Departmental and Ancillary Approvals

- 1. All submitted studies are routed to the individual(s) designated by the Principal Investigator's College/Department/Division for review and approval. Designated individuals will issue approval through the eIRB system or request information/clarification from the Principal Investigator.
- 2. Studies designated as "Full Board" for which no funding is indicated will be routed to the Associate Provost for Research for review and approval.
- 3. Research protocols involving the use of investigational drugs, biologics, exposure to ionizing or non-ionizing radiation, cancer patients, use of the Clinical and Translational Research Center (CTRC), or inclusion of VAMC patients require review and approval by relevant ancillary committee prior to activation of the study or enrollment of subjects. These committees provide ancillary approval or request further information from the Principal Investigator. The committees involved include the:
  - a) Institutional Biosafety Committee;
  - b) Radiation Safety Committee:
  - c) Investigational Drug Pharmacy;
  - d) Hollings Cancer Center Protocol Review Committee;
  - e) Clinical and Translational Research Center Advisory Committee: and.
  - f) VAMC Research and Development Committee.

## C. Notifications to Other Departments

- 1. The following departments receive notification of study submission (if applicable):
  - a) Success Center
  - b) Office of Research and Sponsored Programs
  - c) Grant and Contracts Administration
  - d) Conflict of Interest Committee (only if a COI is reported)
  - e) Gastroenterology (only if the PI is a Fellow in GI)
  - f) MUSC Simulation Center (only if the Simulation Center is indicated as a study site)

2. The notifications to these departments are for information purposes only. No approval is required from these departments.

## D. Processing by IRB Administration

- 1. Upon receipt of an application, the application is checked by the IRB staff for completeness. If additional items are necessary to complete the submission, the IRB staff will note the items and return the study to the Principal Investigator.
- 2. Study personnel listed on the application are checked against the Compliance Office database to ensure required institutional training has been completed. If not all personnel have completed training, the PI is notified that that IRB approval of the study will not be released until documentation that all study personnel have completed required education is received in the IRB office.
- The IRB Administrator administratively reviews the application packet for regulatory compliance and adherence to established guidelines.

## E. Assignment of Reviewer

- 1. In consultation with the Chair, the IRB Administrator will assign initial protocols to primary reviewers.
  - a) Each Primary Review Group will include a minimum of three IRB members making sure someone with the relevant expertise and knowledge is included to conduct an in depth review.
  - b) No Board member who may have a conflict of interest is assigned to a study as primary reviewer.
- 2. If an IRB member notifies the Administrator that he/she does not feel competent to review a protocol/amendment assigned, the material will be reassigned.
- 3. The IRB Administrator will ensure the prisoner representative is a primary reviewer for any initial protocols involving prisoners and is a reviewer for any amendments and continuation applications for protocols involving prisoners; the prisoner representative will be a voting member of the convened meeting where these documents are discussed

# F. Use of Non-IRB Members with Expertise

- 1. The IRB Administrator, chair, and/or any voting member may request additional expertise when reviewing a protocol.
- 2. The chair or designee will contact an individual with the expertise requested to determine:
  - a) credentials to provide the expertise, and
  - b) availability.
- 3. The required expertise will be sought among the MUSC faculty if available and without a conflict of interest.
- 4. The chair or designee will indicate the concerns/questions requiring expert review.
- 5. The IRB Administrator will ensure the expert has all the materials required to review and address the concerns/questions.
- 6. Depending on the request and need for the additional expertise, the chair will ask the expert(s) to discuss concerns/questions with a Board member, document his/her review, and/or attend the relevant convened Board meeting.

#### G. Review Material for IRB Members

- 1. The complete application is available to all IRB members through the eIRB system. The IRB Administrator will select the primary reviewers and upload the appropriate IRB reviewers' checklists. The eIRB system will send a review request to the primary reviewers containing a link to the protocol in the eIRB system. The application consists of the following items.
  - a) Request for Full Board Review Application,
  - b) Study protocol,
  - c) Investigator drug brochures,
  - d) The consent documents or waivers of consent documents,
  - e) HIPAA or HIPAA waiver document,
  - f) Advertisements,
  - g) Questionnaires and Surveys,
  - h) Budget,
  - i) Principal Investigator Statement of Assurance,
  - i) Conflict of Interest Disclosure, and
  - k) Drug and/or Device Sheets.
- 2. The checklists received by the primary reviewers for assessment to ensure consistency and completeness are (as appropriate to the specific study):
  - a) IRB Reviewer Checklist (Full Board Review)

- b) Informed Consent Document Checklist
- c) Special Subject Populations Checklist if applicable
  - (1) Children
  - (2) Cognitively Impaired or Persons Unable to Consent
  - (3) Pregnant Women, Fetuses, Neonates
  - (4) Prisoners
- The IRB Administrator sends the agenda to selected IRB members.
   IRB members receive an email to link to the agenda of the protocols under initial review for the scheduled meeting.
- 4. The application submission is generally assigned out 3 weeks prior to the next scheduled Board meeting.
- **H. Review Criteria -** The primary reviewers are assigned to assess the following:
  - 1. risks to the subjects have been minimized by using sound research design, and, whenever appropriate, using procedures already being performed on the subject for diagnostic or treatment purposes,
  - 2. risks, including physical, psychological, social and economic risks, are reasonable relative to anticipated benefits,
  - 3. selection of subjects is equitable,
  - 4. the informed consent process and document are in compliance with MUSC policies and federal regulations,
  - 5. provisions are adequate to protect the privacy of subjects and confidentiality of data,
  - 6. if the research subjects include a vulnerable group, additional safeguards have been included to protect the rights and welfare of these subjects and that all special requirements for the populations have been adequately addressed, and
  - 7. the recommended frequency of continuing review.

# I. Documentation of Primary Review

- 1. Using the designated review procedure, the primary reviewer (the Chair, Vice-Chair or the Chair's Designee) enters reviewer comments.
- 2. The Administrator requests the reviewers' critiques by a stated deadline.
- 3. The primary reviewers finalize their reviews by categorizing their recommendation as approval, conditional approval, or disapproval and summarize the suggested modifications that may be required for the study to achieve an acceptable benefit/risk ratio.

4. The IRB staff summarizes the reviewers' comments and discusses these comments with the reviewers and IRB Chair as necessary for clarification.

## J. Communication between IRB Administration and PI prior to meeting

- 1. The IRB staff sends all comments to the study communication leads electronically. A date of when their response is due is given based on when the comments were received.
- 2. The IRB Administrator checks the study team's response and marks the changes to correspond with the comments and uploads the response with the agenda for the Board's review.
- 3. The IRB Chair reviews the Principal Investigator's response and seeks additional information from reviewers and/or the Principal Investigator when necessary to clarify issues/concerns.
- 4. Principal Investigator responses that come in after the agenda has been sent out will be reviewed by the Chair when possible to determine if additional information would be useful; all complete investigator responses will be presented to the Board at the meeting.

#### K. Convened IRB

- During the Board meeting, each initial study is presented by the Chair and/or Primary Reviewer(s), discussed and voted on individually. The Principal Investigator will be present if requested by any Board member or if the Chair/Administrator thinks the Investigator needs to be present to clarify issues/concerns.
- 2. The Board may approve, table, disapprove, or require modifications to secure approval. If the Board requests minor modifications which do not substantially impact the risk/benefit analysis, the Board may approve the study contingent on final review and approval by the Chair or the Chair's Designee. No required changes to the informed consent document will be deferred to the Chair's or Chair's Designee approval unless the Board has stipulated the wording of these changes. Changes that are substantive in nature must be brought back to the Full Board at a convened meeting.

# L. Communication between the Institutional Review Board and the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs

If the study is sponsored by a Corporate or Industry sponsor, the Approval form and Informed Consent are reviewed by the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs. ORSP will review the approved consent sponsor commitment language against the sponsor/MUSC contract and notify the

Administrator by email once the contract negotiations are complete and the study can be released

#### M. Post-IRB Communication with the PI

- 1. For approved studies, the IRB administrator completes the following activities:
  - a) The informed consent(s) is/are electronically stamped with the approval and expiration date.
  - b) The HIPAA Authorization and advertisements are electronically stamped with the approval date.
  - c) An approval letter is prepared. This letter includes the following: 

    [Electronic Signature: This document has been electronically signed by the IRB Chairman through the HSSC eIRB Submission System authorizing IRB approval for this study as described in this letter.
  - d) The approval is electronically issued in the system.
- 2. For studies requiring modification, the IRB administrator completes the following activities:
  - a) The IRB reviewers' comments and requirements are summarized.
  - b) A letter from the Chair or Chair's Designee notifying the study team that the IRB requires changes to the study is prepared.
  - c) The letter is sent to all study communication leads.
- 3. If the Board disapproves the study, the IRB Administrator completes the following activities:
  - a) The IRB reviewers' comments and requirements are summarized.
  - b) A letter from the Chair or Chair's Designee notifying the study team that the IRB has disapproved the study is prepared.
  - c) The letter is sent to all study communication leads.
- 4. For changes submitted by the study team in response to IRB request:
  - a) If the study modifications are minor in nature, the IRB Administrator will forward to the Chair for review.

- (1) If the Chair finds the modifications acceptable, the Chair will indicate approval and the IRB Administrator will complete the steps in **M.1** above.
- (2) If the Chair determines additional modification are necessary, the Chair will indicate changes required and the IRB Administrator will complete the steps in **M.2** above.
- b) Study Team responses to substantive modifications due to table or disapproval are presented to the Full Board for review, discussion and vote at a convened meeting.
- 5. If a Principal Investigator has appealed the Board's decision in writing to the Chair, the Administrator will place the item on the next available agenda for full Board discussion and vote. The Principal Investigator will be notified of the date, time and place of the meeting.

# N. Duration of Approval

- 1. For all approved research protocols, the IRB may determine that the research risk is of significant magnitude meriting review more frequently than on an annual basis. Examples of increased risk include sensitive issues (HIV and AIDS), vulnerable populations (school children) and safety (protocol deviations and AEs).
- 2. Unless renewed, a protocol is active for one year. The expiration date, the last day the protocol is approved, is calculated as no more than 365 days after approval. The calculation of the approval period is based on the date of the convened meeting at which the IRB approves the protocol and not on the date when the reviewer approves any requested modifications.